RESEARCH

Evaluation of WGS-subtyping methods for epidemiological surveillance of foodborne salmonellosis

Manal Mohammed^{*} and Salina Thapa

Abstract

Background: Salmonellosis is one of the most common foodborne diseases worldwide. Although human infection by non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (NTS) *enterica subspecies enterica* is associated primarily with a self-limiting diarrhoeal illness, invasive bacterial infections (such as septicaemia, bacteraemia and meningitis) were also reported. Human outbreaks of NTS were reported in several countries all over the world including developing as well as high-income countries. Conventional laboratory methods such as pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) do not display adequate discrimination and have their limitations in epidemiological surveillance. It is therefore very crucial to use accurate, reliable and highly discriminative subtyping methods for epidemiological characterisation and outbreak investigation.

Methods: Here, we used different whole genome sequence (WGS)-based subtyping methods for retrospective investigation of two different outbreaks of *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Dublin that occurred in 2013 in UK and Ireland respectively.

Results: Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based cluster analysis of *Salmonella* Typhimurium genomes revealed well supported clades, that were concordant with epidemiologically defined outbreak and confirmed the source of outbreak is due to consumption of contaminated mayonnaise. SNP-analyses of *Salmonella* Dublin genomes confirmed the outbreak however the source of infection could not be determined. The core genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST) was discriminatory and separated the outbreak strains of *Salmonella* Dublin from the non-outbreak strains that were concordant with the epidemiological data however cgMLST could neither discriminate between the outbreak and non-outbreak strains of *Salmonella* Typhimurium nor confirm that contaminated mayonnaise is the source of infection, On the other hand, other WGS-based subtyping methods including multilocus sequence typing (MLST), ribosomal MLST (rMLST), whole genome MLST (wgMLST), clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs), prophage sequence profiling, antibiotic resistance profile and plasmid typing methods were less discriminatory and could not confirm the source of the outbreak.

Conclusions: Foodborne salmonellosis is an important concern for public health therefore, it is crucial to use accurate, reliable and highly discriminative subtyping methods for epidemiological surveillance and outbreak investigation. In this study, we showed that SNP-based analyses do not only have the ability to confirm the occurrence of the outbreak but also to provide definitive evidence of the source of the outbreak in real-time.

Keywords: Salmonella, WGS, Subtyping, SNP-typing, Prophage profile, CRISPR typing, MLST, rMLST, wgMLST, cgMLST

B٨

© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, and iotace dotterwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



Open Access



^{*} Correspondence: m.mohammed@westminster.ac.uk

School of Life Sciences, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, University of Westminster, London, UK

Mohammed and Thapa One Health Outlook https://doi.org/10.1186/s42522-020-00016-5

Introduction

Foodborne salmonellosis is an important concern for public health. It is caused by the enteric pathogen *Salmonella enterica*, which includes more than 2600 serovars [1]. Human *Salmonella* infections are classically divided into diseases caused by typhoidal or non-typhoidal salmonella (NTS). Typhoid fever is caused by the human restricted *Salmonella enterica* serovars Typhi and Paratyphi [2]. Although non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (NTS) serovars, predominantly cause a self-limiting diarrhoeal illness they have adapted to cause invasive extra-intestinal disease known as invasive NTS (iNTS) which can result in bacteraemia and focal systemic infections [3, 4]. There are two licenced vaccines for prevention of typhoid fever however, they are not effective against NTS [5] moreover, management of iNTS illness is complicated by the emergence of multidrug resistant (MDR) strains [6]. *Salmonella* serovars responsible for typhoid fever kill over 250,000 humans per year [7] while non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (NTS) serovars responsible for diarrhoeal illness cause over 155,000 deaths annually [8]. Interestingly, NTS have adapted to cause febrile bacteraemia and serious systemic infections; it has been estimated that over 680,000 people die every year as a result of infection by invasive NTS (iNTS) [3]. *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Dublin have been associated with systemic illness [4, 5]. Human outbreaks of *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Dublin were reported in developed countries [9–11].



Conventional laboratory methods such as pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) do not usually provide adequate discrimination among outbreak and nonoutbreak strains of *Salmonella enterica* and have their limitations in epidemiological surveillance, it is therefore crucial to use accurate, reliable and highly discriminative subtyping methods for epidemiological characterisation and outbreak investigation.

Here, we evaluate different whole genome sequence (WGS)-based subtyping methods (including single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based cluster analysis, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), ribosomal MLST (rMLST), whole genome MLST (wgMLST), core genome MLST (cgMLST) as well as clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs), prophage sequence profiling, antibiotic resistance profile and plasmid typing) for retrospective investigation of two outbreaks of *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Dublin that occurred in 2013 in UK and Ireland respectively [9, 12].

Methods

Retrospective analyses of the two outbreaks of Salmonella Typhimurium and Salmonella Dublin

We carried out retrospective investigation of a human outbreak of *Salmonella* Dublin that occurred in 2013 in Ireland [9] and another human outbreak of *Salmonella* Typhimurium occurred in 2013 in UK [12]. We included suspected food strains isolated from mayonnaise and raw-milk cheeses that can be linked to the outbreaks of *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Dublin respectively. Non-outbreak strains were also included for comparison. Details of all *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates analysed in this study are provided in supplementary Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

PFGE was of a limited value for the investigation of the outbreak of *Salmonella* Dublin [9] since all outbreak and non-outbreak isolates of *Salmonella* Dublin were indistinguishable by PFGE. Although multiple loci VNTR analysis (MLVA) was of value in discriminating the



outbreak strains from an epidemiologically unrelated isolate in 2013 it was not able to provide a conclusive link between the outbreak strain and a historical isolate from 2011 (11F310) since all outbreak strains had the same MLVA pattern (3-6-1-10-2-3-12) and the historical isolate had similar MLVA pattern (3-6-1-10-2-3-11/12).

Despite the technical limitation of phage typing, it was of value for investigating the outbreak of *Salmonella* Typhimurium [12] and confirming that mayonnaise is the source of infection.

Denovo assembly of WGS data of *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains

We carried out *denovo* assembly for the raw Fastq paired end (PE) reads for all *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella*

 Table 1
 MLST, rMLST, cgMLST and wgMLST results of
 Salmonella
 Dublin outbreak and non-outbreak strains
 Salmonella
 Salmo

| Strain ID: | MLST: | rMLST: | cgMLST: | wgMLST: |
|----------------------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Outbreak strains: | | | | |
| 902,637 | 10 | 1429 | 38,665 | 259,116 |
| MF036933 | 10 | 1429 | 38,665 | 259,117 |
| MF036980 | 10 | 1429 | 38,665 | 259,118 |
| 517,138 | 10 | 1429 | 38,665 | 259,121 |
| MF6869 | 10 | 1429 | 38,665 | 259,127 |
| M26560 | 10 | 1429 | 38,665 | 259,123 |
| MF7067 | 10 | 1429 | 38,665 | 259,122 |
| MF7174 | 10 | 1429 | 38,665 | 259,128 |
| 40,986 | 10 | 1429 | 38,665 | 259,126 |
| Non-outbreak strain | s: | | | |
| MF038630 | 10 | 1429 | 38,666 | 259,131 |
| M1314220 | 10 | 26,829 | 38,664 | 259,120 |
| M54827 | 10 | 1429 | 38,667 | 259,129 |
| MB12371 | 10 | 26,829 | 38,668 | 259,130 |
| MF5994 | 10 | 92,451 | 38,669 | 259,145 |
| MB7978 | 10 | 1429 | 38,670 | 259,133 |
| B289223 | 10 | 1429 | 38,671 | 259,134 |
| 11F310 | 10 | 1429 | 38,655 | 259,135 |
| MB98550 | 10 | 3696 | 38,657 | 259,142 |
| MF8409 | 10 | 1429 | 38,658 | 259,139 |
| W151R0 | 10 | 1429 | 38,659 | 259,140 |
| B261193 | 10 | 92,450 | 38,660 | 259,141 |
| MP015199F | 10 | 1429 | 38,661 | 259,148 |
| Food isolates: | | | | |
| ^a 2014LSAL02972 | 10 | 1429 | 230,922 | 283,421 |
| ^a 2015LSAL00258 | 10 | 96,856 | 146,469 | 283,422 |

Same results for MLST, rMLST, cgMLST and wgMLST were obtained from CGE and Enterobase using Velvet and SPAdes assemblers respectively. ^aSalmonella Dublin strains isolated from raw milk cheeses related to other outbreaks occurred in France [10] Typhimurium strains using two different assemblers including Velvet available at Centre for genomic epidemiology (CGE) (http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/) and SPAdes available at Enterobase (http://enterobase. warwick.ac.uk/). We then assessed the quality of the

 Table 2
 MLST, rMLST, cgMLST and wgMLST results of
 Salmonella
 Salmone

| Strain ID | MLST: | rMLST: | cgMLST: | wgMLST: |
|-------------------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Food strains: | | | | |
| ^a H133060375 | 19 | 1392 | 60,658 | 70,401 |
| ^a H133060376 | 19 | 1392 | 60,660 | 70,402 |
| ^a H133060377 | 19 | 1392 | 36,749 | 70,514 |
| ^a H133060378 | 19 | 1392 | 60,661 | 70,403 |
| Outbreak strains: | | | | |
| H133000654 | 19 | 1392 | 36,749 | 70,398 |
| H132940743 | 19 | 1392 | 36,749 | 70,404 |
| H132940744 | 19 | 1392 | 60,662 | 70,405 |
| H132940745 | 19 | 1392 | 60,663 | 70,406 |
| H132940746 | 19 | 1392 | 36,749 | 70,431 |
| H132940748 | 19 | 1392 | 60,683 | 70,432 |
| H132940749 | 19 | 1392 | 36,749 | 70,433 |
| H132940750 | 19 | 1392 | 60,684 | 70,439 |
| H132940751 | 19 | 1392 | 60,685 | 70,440 |
| H132940753 | 19 | 1392 | 61,002 | 70,834 |
| H132940754 | 19 | 1392 | 36,754 | 70,835 |
| H132940756 | 2392 | 1392 | 61,001 | 70,833 |
| H133000645 | 19 | 1392 | 36,749 | |
| H133300609 | 19 | 1392 | 36,749 | 70,944 |
| H132300541 | 19 | 1391 | 36,751 | 70,951 |
| Non-outbreak stra | ains: | | | |
| H133260293 | 19 | 1392 | 71,438 | 84,026 |
| H132780266 | 19 | 1391 | 71,450 | 84,040 |
| H132960590 | 19 | 1391 | 36,751 | 84,041 |
| H132920685 | 19 | 1392 | 36,763 | 84,076 |
| H132980531 | 19 | 1391 | 36,774 | 87,971 |
| H121600325 | 19 | 1391 | 20,224 | 87,972 |
| H122720573 | 19 | 1391 | 20,848 | 87,973 |
| H12320661 | 19 | 1391 | 20,882 | 87,974 |
| H123020544 | 19 | 1391 | 20,711 | 87,975 |
| H122020454 | 19 | 1391 | 21,310 | 88,017 |
| H124860455 | 19 | 26,127 | 20,800 | 88,018 |
| H133040470 | 19 | 1392 | 71,422 | 84,006 |
| H1330400611 | 19 | 1392 | 71,438 | 84,025 |

^aStrains of Salmonella Typhimurium isolated from mayonnaise

Same results for MLST, rMLST, cgMLST and wgMLST were obtained from CGE and Enterobase using Velvet and SPAdes assemblers respectively.

assembly for each strain was assessed using Quast assessment tool (http://quast.bioinf.spbau.ru/).

SNP typing analyses of *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium outbreaks

SNP analysis was carried out using CSIPhylogeny (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/CSIPhylogeny/) where raw reads were mapped to reference sequences (strain LT2 of Salmonella Typhimurium; accession number: AE006468 and strain CT_02021853 of Salmonella Dublin; accession number: CP001144) using BWA software (http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net). The depth at each mapped position was calculated using genomeCoverageBed, which is part of BEDTools (https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/). High quality SNPs were called using mpileup which is part of SAMTools (http://samtools.sourceforge.net). Genome mappings were then compared and an alignment of the SNPs are then created by concatenating the SNPs.

Table 3 Number of spacers within CRISPR1 locus in all
 Salmonella
 Dublin strains analysed in this study
 Salmonella
 Study
 Salmonella
 Salmonella

| Strain ID: | Spacers No. (Velvet) | Spacers No. (SPAdes) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Outbreak strains: | | |
| 902,637 | 5 | 5 |
| MF036933 | 5 | 5 |
| MF036980 | 5 | 5 |
| 517,138 | 4 | 5 |
| MF6869 | 5 | 5 |
| M26560 | 5 | 5 |
| MF7067 | 4 | 5 |
| MF7174 | 5 | 5 |
| 40,986 | 5 | 5 |
| Non-outbreak strains: | | |
| MF038630 | 5 | 5 |
| M1314220 | 5 | 5 |
| M54827 | 3 | 3 |
| MB12371 | 5 | 5 |
| MF5994 | 5 | 5 |
| MB7978 | 5 | 5 |
| B289223 | 5 | 5 |
| 11F310 | 5 | 5 |
| MB98550 | 4 | 4 |
| MF8409 | 5 | 5 |
| W151R0 | 4 | 5 |
| B261193 | 3 | 3 |
| MP015199F | 3 | 3 |

A maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree was then created based on the concatenated alignment of the high quality SNPs.

Determination of MLST, rMLST, cgMLST and wgMLST of *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains The assembled sequences of each strain were analyzed to detect the MLST, rMLST, cgMLST and wgMLST

Table 4 Number of spacers within CRISPRs loci in all SalmonellaTyphimurium strains analysed in this study

| Strain ID | Spacers No (Velvet & S | Spacers No. (Velvet & SPAdes) | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Food strains: | | | | |
| ^a H133060375 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| ^a H133060376 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| ^a H133060377 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| ^a H133060378 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| Outbreak strains: | | | | |
| H133300609 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940743 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940744 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940745 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940746 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940748 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940749 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940750 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940751 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940753 | 13 | 9 | 9 | |
| H132940754 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132940756 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H133000645 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H133000654 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| Non-outbreak strains | | | | |
| H121600325 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H122020454 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H122720573 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H123020544 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H123920661 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H124860455 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132780266 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132920685 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132960590 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H132980531 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H133040470 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H133260293 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |
| H133400611 | 9 | 13 | 9 | |

^aStrains of Salmonella Typhimurium isolated from mayonnaise

available at Enetrobase (http://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/) and CGE (http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/).

Determination of prophage sequence profiles in

Salmonella Dublin *and Salmonella* Typhimurium genomes Prophages were determined with the draft genomes generated by Velevt and SPAdes for all *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains using PHASTER (http://phaster.ca/).

We then used CSI phylogeny available at CGE (http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/) to construct a phylogenetic tree based on the SNPs of detected prophages. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using assembled genomes generated by Velvet and SPAdes assemblers to check if the assembly could affect the tree.

Determination of CRISPRs within *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains

Spacers sequence within the draft genomes of all *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains were characterized using CRISPRFinder (http://crispr.i2 bc.paris-saclay.fr/Server/).

Determination of plasmids within *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains

We determined the plasmids within the draft genomes of all *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains using the plasmid database; PLSDB (https://ccbmicrobe.cs.uni-saarland.de/plsdb/).

In silico analyses of antibiotic resistance within *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains

We determined acquired antibiotic resistance genes and mutations within the draft genomes of all *Salmonella* Dublin and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains using ResFinder (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ ResFinder/).

Results

WGS-based subtyping SNP based cluster analyses

SNP based tree showed conclusively that the outbreak strains of *Salmonella* Typhimurium were grouped together in two clades and they are very closely related to strains isolated from mayonnaise (Fig. 1) confirming the source of outbreak is due to consumption of contaminated mayonnaise.



The outbreak isolates of *Salmonella* Dublin were closely related to each other (Fig. 2) and distinct from the non-outbreak isolates that were not readily distinguishable by PFGE. However, the source of *Salmonella* Dublin outbreak could not be determined and outbreak isolates showed high genetic divergence from the raw-milk cheese isolates related to other outbreaks occurred in France [10].

MLST, rMLST, cgMLST and wgMLST

As illustrated in Table 1, all *Salmonella* Dublin strains including the outbreak and non-outbreak strains showed identical MLST (type 10). Interestingly, outbreak isolates of *Salmonella* Dublin displayed identical rMLST (type 1429) however, some of the non-outbreak strains showed the same rMLST. Moreover, the wgMLST was different among the outbreak strains however, the cgMLST was unique among outbreak strains and can easily separate the outbreak strain from the non-outbreak strains including the 2011 historical isolate (11F310).

On the other hand, MLST, rMLST, cgMLST and wgMLST could not discriminate between the outbreak and non-outbreak strains of *Salmonella* Typhimurium as illustrated in Table 2.

CRISPR typing

All *Salmonella* Dublin isolates including outbreak and non-outbreak strains harbour one CRISPR locus and we observed 3 to 5 unique spacers for CRISPR1 locus. Identical spacers were detected among the outbreak and non-outbreak strains as shown in Table 3.

Interestingly, the number of spacers in three isolates (517,138, MF7067 and W151R0) changed from (4 spacers) based on Velvet to (5 spacers) based on SPAdes.

All *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates harbour 3 CRISPR loci. Identical spacers were detected among the outbreak and non-outbreak strains as shown in Table 4. There was no difference between the numbers of spacers using different assemblers.

Prophage sequence profiling

All *Salmonella* Dublin strains including the outbreak strains are lysogenic for three prophages (Gifsy_2, 118970_sal3 and RE_2010). However, phylogenetic analyses of *Salmonella* Dublin strains based on the SNPs of prophages showed that outbreak strains are intermixed with the non-outbreak strains based on velvet assembler (Fig. 3) and SPAdes assembler (Fig. 4).



All *Salmonella* Typhimurium genomes assembled by SPAdes revealed the presence of four prophages in all outbreak and non-outbreak strains including the three Salmonella prophages (Gifsy 2, RE-2010, and 118970_ sal3) and the Edwardsiella specific phage (GF-2).

On the other hand, *Salmonella* Typhimurium genomes assembled by Velvet were lysogenic for two *Salmonella* specific prophages (Gifsy 2 and RE-2010). All strains except one outbreak isolate (H132940750) harbour *Salmonella* 118970_sal3 phage.

Interestingly, all strains harbour Edwardsiella GF-2 prophage except three outbreak isolates (H132940748, H133000645 and H133060376).

Phylogenetic analyses of *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains based on the SNPs of prophages showed that outbreak strains are intermixed with the non-outbreak strains using velvet assembler (Fig. 5) and using SPAdes assembler (Fig. 6).

Plasmid typing

All outbreak and non-outbreak strains of *Salmonella* Dublin harbour identical plasmid type (except three non-outbreak isolates; M1314220, MB12371 and B261193) as shown in Table 5.

Same plasmids were determined using Velvet and SPAdes assemblers.

All outbreak and non-outbreak isolates of *Salmonella* Typhimurium harbour 3 plasmids (pATCC14028, plasmid: 4 and pSE81–1705) except the outbreak strain H133300609 which did not carry plasmid pATCC14028 but it harbours a different plasmid (pSLT_VNP20009) instead (Table 6).

Antibiotic resistance profile

All *Salmonella* Dublin isolates including the outbreak and non-outbreak strains are resistant to aminoglycosides due to the acquisition of the aac(6')-*Iaa* gene. No mutations were detected against *gyrA* and *parC* genes in all isolates except one isolate (MF038630) that carried a non-synonyms mutation within the gyrase protein and it is associated with bacterial resistance to nalidixic acid (Table 7).

All the *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates of both the outbreak and non-outbreak group are resistant to aminoglycosides due to the acquisition of the "*aac*(6')-*Iaa* gene". No known mutations were detected against *gyrA* and *parC* (Table 8).

Discussion

Salmonellosis is one of the most common foodborne diseases worldwide and has been associated with high morbidity and mortality rates. It is estimated that over





680,000 humans throughout the world are killed each year by iNTS. The most predominant iNTS serovars are Typhimurium, Enteritidis and Dublin [13, 14]. It is therefore very crucial to use accurate, reliable and highly discriminative subtyping methods for epidemiological surveillance and outbreak investigation.

Although PFGE is considered as current gold standard for all *Salmonella* serotypes, it has its limitations moreover, variation between laboratories has been reported when identifying the source of infection and discriminating between the outbreak and nonoutbreak isolates [15].

Other phenotypic tools such as phage typing and antimicrobial resistance profiling have been crucial in the outbreak investigations [15, 16]. Furthermore, MLVA has been used to distinguish between genetically closely related strains and trace back the sources of disease outbreaks related to food [15, 17].

Genotypic approaches have ameliorated the methods for carrying out outbreak investigation and epidemiological surveillance [18]. The advent of whole genome sequencing (WGS) has opened the possibilities to enhance the typing approaches for outbreak investigation and epidemiological surveillance. In our study, WGS data have been analyzed to test the suitability of different approaches as subtyping tool for *Salmonella enterica* surveillance. We therefore carried out retrospective investigation of two different outbreaks of *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Dublin that occurred in 2013 in UK and Ireland respectively [6, 19] using different WGS-subtyping methods.

In this study, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based cluster analysis of *Salmonella* Typhimurium genomes revealed well supported clades, that were concordant with epidemiologically defined outbreak and confirmed the source of outbreak is due to consumption of contaminated mayonnaise. Although SNP-analyses of *Salmonella* Dublin genomes confirmed the outbreak, however the source of infection could not be determined.

On the other the WGS-subtyping methods including MLST, rMLST, wgMLST, cgMLST showed limited discrimination for the outbreak and non-outbreak isolates of *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains. However, cgMLST defined the genetic relatedness among *Salmonella* Dublin isolates more precisely and confirmed there is no relation among the 2013 outbreak isolates and the 2011 historical isolate (11F310) of *Salmonella* Dublin.

It was reported that MLST might not be the most suitable epidemiological tool [20] but it is best for analyzing the genetic diversity of the strain and analyze the core and conserved genes of pathogens that are of public importance.

The cgMLST bridges the classic MLST with the novel WGS-based approach since it combines the discriminatory power of MLST with large-scale data obtained from WGS enabling to exploit a considerable number of gene targets throughout the bacterial genome which would maximize the quality and resolution for surveillance and research works.

A recent study showed that cgMLST has shown the robustness of cgMLST as a tool to investigate multi-country outbreak of *Salmonella* Enteritidis in Europe [21].

The difference between the cgMLST and wgMLST is that unlike cgMLST, wgMLST indexes the variation of pre-defined set of genes from both core and accessory genes [22]. Another retrospective study on 8 different outbreaks associated with verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) O157:H7 in Canada showed that wgMLST provided higher discrimination than PFGE and MLVA [23].

Research studies have shown that cgMLST and wgMLST are viable typing methods for outbreak surveillance. In our study, cgMLST proved to provide higher discriminatory resolution for differentiating *Salmonella* Dublin isolates of outbreak group from the nonoutbreak group. However, both cgMLST and wgMLST were unsuccessful in differentiating outbreak-related *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates from outbreakunrelated isolates.

Bacterial genome comprises a considerable amount (10 to 20%) of prophages integrated in their core genome [24]. Prophages harbor genes for antimicrobial resistance, virulence and toxins which contribute to the genetic diversity of bacterial strains making

Table 5 Distribution of plasmids among outbreak and non-outbreak strains of Salmonella Dublin

| | pSA19992307 (NZ_CP030208) | pSE81–1705 (NZ_CP018654) | Plasmid: 4 (LN829404) | pATCC39184 (NZ_CP019180) | pSDU2-USMARC-69807 (NZ_CP032381) | Plasmid: 3 (NZ_LN868945) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Outbreak strains: | | | | | | |
| 902,637 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| MF036933 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| MF036980 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| 517,138 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| MF6869 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| M26560 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| MF7067 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| MF7174 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| 40,986 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Non-outbreak strain | 15: | | | | | |
| MF038630 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| M1314220 | Absent | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent |
| M54827 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| MB12371 | Absent | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent |
| MF5994 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| MB7978 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| B289223 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| 11F310 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| MB98550 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| MF8409 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| W151R0 | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| B261193 | Absent | Present | Present | Absent | Present | Absent |
| MP015199F | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Food strains: | | | | | | Absent |
| ^a 2014LSAL02972 | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent | Present |
| ^a 2015LSAL00258 | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent | Present |

^aSalmonella Dublin strains isolated from raw milk cheeses related to other outbreaks occurred in France [10]

prophages a potential marker for discriminating *Salmonella* serovars [25]. However, one of the limitations of using prophage sequence profiles for *Salmonella* subtyping is the sensitivity and accuracy of the assembly as some prophage regions might be lost during assembly. We used two different *denovo* assemblers (SPAdes and Velvet) and found that prophage

sequence profiling could not differentiate between the outbreak and non-outbreak isolates.

Recent studies have suggested that high throughput CRISPR typing has the potential to be used for epidemiological surveillance and investigation of *Salmonella* outbreaks [26, 27]. However, in our study, we detected identical spacers among outbreak and non-

| Table 6 Distribution | on of plasmids amo | ng outbreak and nor | n-outbreak strains | of Salmonella | Typhimurium |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|

| Strain ID | pATCC14028 (NZ_CP034231) | Plasmid: 4 (LN829404) | pSE81–1705 (NZ_CP018654) | pSLT_VNP20009 (NZ_CP008745) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Food strains: | | | | |
| ^a H133060375 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| ^a H133060376 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| ^a H133060377 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| ^a H133060378 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| Outbreak strains: | | | | |
| H132300541 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940743 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940744 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940745 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940746 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940748 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940749 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940750 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940751 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940753 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940754 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132940756 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H133000645 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H133000654 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H133300609 | Absent | Present | Present | Present |
| Non-outbreak strains: | | | | |
| H121600325 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H122020454 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H122720573 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H123020544 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H123920661 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H124860455 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132780266 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132920685 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132960590 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H132980531 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H133040470 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H133260293 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |
| H133400611 | Present | Present | Present | Absent |

^aStrains of Salmonella Typhimurium isolated from mayonnaise

| Strain ID: | Acquired antibiotic resistance genes: | Mutations in gyrA gene: | Mutations in parC gene: |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Outbreak strains: | | | |
| 902,637 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MF036933 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MF036980 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| 517,138 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MF6869 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| M26560 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MF7067 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MF7174 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| 40,986 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| Non-outbreak strains: | | | |
| MF038630 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Present |
| M1314220 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| M54827 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MB12371 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MF5994 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MB7978 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| B289223 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| 11F310 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MB98550 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MF8409 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| W151R0 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| B261193 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| MP015199F | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| Food strains: | | | |
| ^a 2014LSAL02972 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |
| ^a 2015LSAL00258 | Aminoglycoside (<i>aac(6')-laa</i>) | Absent | Absent |

Table 7 In silico analyses results of antimicrobial resistance genes and mutations within all Salmonella Dublin strains

^aSalmonella Dublin strains isolated from raw milk cheeses related to other outbreaks occurred in France [10]

outbreak associated strains indicating that CRISPR typing is not useful for the surveillance of *Salmonella enetrica* outbreaks as we showed in our previous studies [28, 29] however, it might be useful for the discrimination among different *Salmonella* serovars.

Plasmid profiles and antimicrobial- susceptibility profiling have been used as an epidemiological tool since many decades. However, it was reported that analysis of plasmid profiles provided higher discrimination in the outbreak investigations than analysis of antimicrobial-susceptibility pattern [30, 31]. In our study both plasmid typing and in silico analysis of antibiotic resistance were unable to discriminate between the outbreak isolates and non-outbreak isolates.

In this study, we compared several retrospective WGS-based subtyping methods and we showed that

SNP-based cluster analysis is superior to other subtying methods to define the source of outbreak in realtime.

In conclusion, foodborne salmonellosis is an important concern for public health therefore, it is crucial to use accurate, reliable and highly discriminative subtyping methods for epidemiological surveillance and outbreak investigation. The rapid development of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology and bioinformatics tools have enabled WGS of any bacterial strain feasible. Various typing tools have been proposed by using WGS data but currently, the adoption of WGS-based methods have proved to be difficult due to lack of standardization. There are many layers on obtaining WGS data and there is need of standardization from the type of sequencers used to

| Strain ID | Acquired antibiotic resistance genes: | Mutations in gyrA gene: | Mutations in <i>parC gene</i> : |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Food strains: | | | |
| ^a H133060375 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| °H133060376 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| [°] H133060377 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| ^a H133060378 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| Outbreak strains: | | | |
| H132940743 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940744 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940745 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940746 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940748 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940749 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940750 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940751 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940753 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940754 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132940756 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H133000645 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H133000654 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H133300609 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| Non-outbreak strains: | | | |
| H121600325 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H122020454 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H122720573 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H123020544 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H123920661 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H124860455 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132780266 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132920685 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132960590 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6 [•])-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H132980531 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H133040470 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H133260293 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |
| H133400611 | Aminoglycoside (aac(6')-laa) | Absent | Absent |

Table 8 In silico analyses results of antimicrobial resistance genes and mutations within all Salmonella Typhimurium strains

^aStrains of *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolated from mayonnaise

the bioinformatics analysis. Therefore, the emerging genetic analysis techniques should be combined with conventional phenotypic and molecular methods for routine surveillance and outbreak investigation until the WGS-based methods can be fully exploited, improved and standardized.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10. 1186/s42522-020-00016-5.

Additional file 1: Supplementary Table 1. Details of *Salmonella* Dublin strains analysed in this study. **Supplementary Table 2.** Details of *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains analysed in this study

Abbreviations

CGE: Centre for Genomic Epidemiology; cgMLST: Core genome multilocus sequence typing; CRISPRs: Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats; iNTS: Invasive NTS; ML: Maximum likelihood; MLST: Multilocus sequence typing; MLVA: Multiple loci VNTR analysis; NGS: Next generation sequencing; NTS: Non-typhoidal *Salmonella*; PE: Paired end; PFGE: Pulsed field gel electrophoresis; rMLST: Ribosomal MLST; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism; wgMLST: Whole genome MLST; WGS: Whole genome sequence

Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank Falling Walls Lab London for the invitation to present this research work. Dr Manal Mohammed is funded by a Quinton Hogg start-up award, University of Westminster.

Authors' contributions

MM: designed the study, contributed to data analyses, wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. ST: contributed to data analyses and contributed to manuscript writing. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

MM is a lecturer of Medical Microbiology, School of Life Sciences, University of Westminster. ST is a MSc Medical Microbiology student at School of Life Sciences, University of Westminster.

Funding

MM is funded by a Quinton Hogg start-up award, University of Westminster.

Availability of data and materials

Available in supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not Applicable.

Consent for publication

Authors agreed to publish.

Competing interests

Not Applicable.

Received: 4 February 2020 Accepted: 1 May 2020 Published online: 06 July 2020

References

- Jajere SM. A review of Salmonella enterica with particular focus on the pathogenicity and virulence factors, host specificity and antimicrobial resistance including multidrug resistance. Vet World. 2019;12(4):504–21.
- 2. Barnett R. Typhoid fever. Lancet. 2016;388(10059):2467.
- Stanaway JD, Parisi A, Sarkar K, Blacker BF, Reiner RC, Hay SI, et al. The global burden of non-typhoidal salmonella invasive disease: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019; 19(12):1312–24.
- Mohammed M, Cormican M. Whole genome sequencing provides insights into the genetic determinants of invasiveness in *Salmonella* Dublin. Epidemiol Infect. 2016;144(11):2430–9.
- Tennant SM, MacLennan CA, Simon R, Martin LB, Khan MI. Nontyphoidal salmonella disease: current status of vaccine research and development. Vaccine. 2016;34(26):2907–10.
- Orzechowska B, Mohammed M. The war between bacteria and bacteriophages. Growing and handling of bacterial cultures Rijeka: IntechOpen; 2019. p.Ch. 6. http://www.intechopen.com/books/growingandhandling-of-bacterial-cultures/the-war-between-bacteria-&-bacteriophages.
- Crump JA, Mintz ED. Global trends in typhoid and paratyphoid fever. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(2):241–6.
- Majowicz SE, Musto J, Scallan E, Angulo FJ, Kirk M, O'Brien SJ, et al. The global burden of nontyphoidal salmonella gastroenteritis. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(6):882–9.
- 9. Mohammed M, Delappe N, O'Connor J, McKeown P, Garvey P, Cormican M. Whole genome sequencing provides an unambiguous link between

Salmonella Dublin outbreak strain and a historical isolate. Epidemiol Infect. 2016;144(3):576–81.

- Cadel-Six S, Vignaud ML, Mohammed M. Draft genome sequences of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Serovar Dublin strains from St. Nectaire and Morbier cheeses characterized by multilocus variable-number tandemrepeat analysis profiles associated with two fatal outbreaks in France. Microbiol Resour Announc. 2019;8(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01361-18 eCollection 2019 Jan.
- Ford L, Wang Q, Stafford R, Ressler KA, Norton S, Shadbolt C, et al. Seven Salmonella Typhimurium outbreaks in Australia linked by trace-Back and whole genome sequencing. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2018;15(5):285–92.
- Ashton PM, Peters T, Ameh L, McAleer R, Petrie S, Nair S, et al. Whole genome sequencing for the retrospective investigation of an outbreak of *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT 8. PLoS Curr. 2015;7. https://doi.org/10.1371/ currents.outbreaks.2c05a47d292f376afc5a6fcdd8a7a3b6.
- 13. Gordon MA. Invasive nontyphoidal salmonella disease: epidemiology, pathogenesis and diagnosis. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2011;24(5):484–9.
- Mohammed M, Vignaud ML, Cadel-Six S. Whole-genome sequences of two Salmonella enterica Serovar Dublin strains that harbor the viaA, viaB, and ompB loci of the vi antigen. Microbiol Resour Announc. 2019;8(14). https:// doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00028-19.
- Lienemann T, Kyyhkynen A, Halkilahti J, Haukka K, Siitonen A. Characterization of *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates from domestically acquired infections in Finland by phage typing, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, PFGE and MLVA. BMC Microbiol. 2015;15:131–8.
- Mohammed M, Cormican M. Whole genome sequencing provides possible explanations for the difference in phage susceptibility among two *Salmonella* Typhimurium phage types (DT8 and DT30) associated with a single foodborne outbreak. BMC Res Notes. 2015;8(1):728.
- Vignaud ML, Cherchame E, Marault M, Chaing E, Le Hello S, Michel V, et al. MLVA for *Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica* Serovar Dublin: development of a method suitable for inter-laboratory surveillance and application in the context of a raw Milk cheese outbreak in France in 2012. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:295.
- Ferrari RG, Panzenhagen PHN, Conte-Junior CA. Phenotypic and genotypic eligible methods for *Salmonella* Typhimurium source tracking. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:2587.
- Mohammed M, Le Hello S, Leekitcharoenphon P, Hendriksen R. The invasome of *Salmonella* Dublin as revealed by whole genome sequencing. BMC Infect Dis. 2017;17(1):544-x.
- 20. Sails AD, Swaminathan B, Fields PI. Utility of multilocus sequence typing as an epidemiological tool for investigation of outbreaks of gastroenteritis caused by *Campylobacter jejuni*. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41(10):4733–9.
- Pearce ME, Alikhan NF, Dallman TJ, Zhou Z, Grant K, Maiden MCJ. Comparative analysis of core genome MLST and SNP typing within a European Salmonella serovar Enteritidis outbreak. Int J Food Microbiol. 2018; 274:1–11.
- Henri C, Leekitcharoenphon P, Carleton HA, Radomski N, Kaas RS, Mariet JF, et al. An assessment of different genomic approaches for inferring phylogeny of listeria monocytogenes. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:2351.
- Rumore J, Tschetter L, Kearney A, Kandar R, McCormick R, Walker M, et al. Evaluation of whole-genome sequencing for outbreak detection of Verotoxigenic Escherichia coli O157:H7 from the Canadian perspective. BMC Genomics. 2018;19(1):870–3.
- 24. Manal M. Who fights whom? understanding the complex dynamics of bacteria phage interaction using Anderson phage typing system. J Infect Dis Therapy. 1970;6(3):1–2.
- Mottawea W, Duceppe MO, Dupras AA, Usongo V, Jeukens J, Freschi L, et al. Salmonella enterica prophage sequence profiles reflect genome diversity and can be used for high discrimination subtyping. Front Microbiol. 2018;9: 836.
- Fabre L, Zhang J, Guigon G, Le Hello S, Guibert V, Accou-Demartin M, et al. CRISPR typing and subtyping for improved laboratory surveillance of salmonella infections. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e36995.
- 27. Shariat N, Dudley EG. CRISPRs: molecular signatures used for pathogen subtyping. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014;80(2):430–9.
- Mohammed M. Phage typing or CRISPR typing for epidemiological surveillance of *Salmonella* Typhimurium? BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):578.
- Mohammed M. Old school wins: outbreak investigation of foodborne salmonellosis; 2018. Available at: http://atlasofscience.org/old-school-winsoutbreak-investigation-of-foodborne-salmonellosis/. Accessed 15 Jan 2020.

- Holmberg SD, Wachsmuth IK, Hickman-Brenner FW, Cohen ML. Comparison of plasmid profile analysis, phage typing, and antimicrobial susceptibility testing in characterizing *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates from outbreaks. J Clin Microbiol. 1984;19(2):100–4.
- Brunner F, Margadant A, Peduzzi R, Piffaretti JC. The plasmid pattern as an epidemiologic tool for *Salmonella* Typhimurium epidemics: comparison with the lysotype. J Infect Dis. 1983;148(1):7–11.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

